

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: 39 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 87.33 % | 90.93 % | 25 | 92.07 % | 13 | 17 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.63 % | 84.10 % | 16 | 84.14 % | 49 | 50 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.05 | 4.63 | 23 | 4.79 | 1 | 1 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 73.06 % | 1 | 81.45 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 65.67 % | 57.04 % | 23 | 69.74 % | 32 | 33 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 71.43 % | 76.10 % | 29 | 80.97 % | 17 | 19 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 21.90 % | 40.85 % | 31 | 45.50 % | 9 | 12 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.10 % | 98.06 % | 28 | 95.55 % | 40 | 40 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 27 / 39 | Nationally: | 14 19 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$13,277 | \$15,425 | | \$26,831 | 105 Units | 30.20 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$46,397 | \$10,030 | | \$15,029 | 92 Units | 26.40 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$63,226 | \$31,155 | | \$20,806 | 151 Units | 43.40 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,677 | | \$3,228 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (214 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (283 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lubbock TX

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$13,072 | \$72,408 | \$67,029 |
| State:* | \$57,602 | \$61,257 | \$33,896 |
| National:** | \$96,090 | \$75,663 | \$23,585 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 3.7 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.76

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 17.3 | 14.1 | 9.3 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 29.8 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 35.6 | 22.8 | 28.5 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 11.5 | 3.3 | 39.7 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 14.4 | 39.1 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 33.7 | 34.8 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 10.6 | 5.4 | 13.2 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 45.2 | 52.2 | 61.6 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 20.2 | 8.7 | 34.4 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 23.1 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 38.5 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 1.9 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 31.7 | 22.8 | 18.5 | 0.0 | Other: | 7.7 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 8.7 | 18.5 | 7.3 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 67.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 1.0 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | 185 | |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lubbock State: TX Group Rank: 14
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 27 / 39 PJs Overall Rank: 19
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 75.25% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.43% | 65.67 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 71.43 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.30% | 98.1 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.340 | 2.49 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

